

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/341,379	07/09/1999	VALERIO AISA	MERL0060US	MERL0060US 5053	
24267	7590 06/10/2002				
	ND MCKENNA, LLI	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210		•	BECKER,	BECKER, DREW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	2/	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		10-21			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advis ry Action	09/341,379	AISA, VALERIO			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL ING DATE AND	Drew E Becker	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe					
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisors Action as (2) the date of this Advisors (Action as (3)) the date of this date of this Advisors (Action as (3)) the date of this da					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-16 and 18-33</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(					
10. Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					



You Bed

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Schwarzbacker t all do not teach "pre-programmed additional functionality that is accessible through an external control device and not accessible through the use of the control panel". However, applicant's attention is drawn to column 3, lines 24-50 of Schwarzbacker et all which teach an "external programming unit" (Figure 1, 51) as well as an "imbedded control" (Figure 1, 52) wherein the "external programming unit" compiles and stores complex recipes which are then transmitted to the "imbedded control", as well as the ability to "block" the "imbedded control" after cooking has started and the capability of controlling multiple cooking devices simultaneously (column 5, lines 1-41).

Regarding applicants arguments to the 112(2) rejections, it still is not clear what information would constitute "first" and "second" information since these terms all appear to possess overlapping functions as they are understood in the art. For instance, the temperature of the cooking device could be considered "status" information, yet this temperature can also be stored in order to compare it to a preset temperature value in which case it could also be considered "second" information. In addition, the temperature value could be controlled by the control unit, as was commonly done, and thus be considered "first" information.

In any case, these are preferred methods of using and controlling the claimed apparatus without adding structural limitations, and as such are not given patentable weight.

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER